

(SRI L. SIDDAPPA.)

school buildings in the State during the current year ;

(b) the number of primary school buildings which are in an incomplete stage in Shimoga District and the amount required to complete them ?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA RAYA REDDY (Chief Minister).—

DISTRICT.	Amount. Rs.
(a) 1. Bangalore City and District	80,000
2. Kolar District	80,000
3. Chickmagalur District	80,000
4. Shimoga District	50,000
5. Hassan District	50,000
6. Maudya District	1,00,000
7. Chitaldrug District	80,000
8. Tumkur District	50,000
9. Mysore District	12,0,000
Total	5,90,000

(b) No. of schools 53.
Amount required Rs. 1,56,881.

Request to furnish promptly Answers to Questions and Budget Estimates Copies in advance to Members.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA (Shimoga District—General).—Sir, before we proceed with the next item of business of the House, I am constrained to repeat my old complaint regarding the furnishing of answers to questions. With regard to the questions that I tabled for the Budget Session 1950, answers for two questions have not yet been furnished at all. I am told that to one more question replies are placed on the Table just now. With regard to the questions tabled for the August-September Session, there again for two questions, answers have not yet been furnished. I am told that one of the answers that were placed on the Table of the House today relates to that question. Answers to the other question are not furnished. You will please see from the nature of the questions that they do not require any appreciable time for the Government to collect answers. As an instance in point, I am referring to one of my questions tabled during the Budget Session of 1950. Question No. 58

Tabled by me for that session reads as follows :—

“Will the Government be pleased to state:—
(a) when the Anjanapur Regional Development Scheme was sanctioned ;
(b) the total estimated cost of the scheme ;
(c) if the scheme has since been revised ; and if so, the total estimated cost according to the revised estimate ;
(d) (i) the grant made and outlay incurred annually from the date of sanction of the scheme till the end of December 1949 under Public Works to be executed through the agency of the Public Works Department and those through the agency of the Revenue Department, (ii) the amount spent annually under Public Health and Education ;
(e) whether the scheme contemplates employment of special staff for execution of works ; if so, the staff sanctioned in the scheme and the staff employed so far and the date of employment of each staff ;
(f) the authority appointed by Government for co-ordinating the activities of the several departments ;
(g) the period during which the works specified in the scheme are scheduled to be completed ;
(h) whether they are satisfied with the progress so far made in the scheme ; if not, what steps do they intend taking for completing the works at an early date ?”

This was my question for which answers are not yet furnished. I repeated this very same question for the September Session also. Even now answers have not been furnished to the question. I submit that on a previous occasion I brought this to the notice of the House. There was considerable discussion on the point. The Hon'ble Leader of the House and the Hon'ble Minister for Law were then pleased to hold out a promise that they would see that answers would be furnished hereafter without any more delay. Even after that promise, I am sorry to say that they have not properly instructed the administrative departments concerned with a view to seeing that answers are furnished promptly. I think, Sir, it is high time that Government take necessary action to see that these officers act promptly.

Rajamantrapravina H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA (Hassan District—General).—In this connection I would like to say that the questions for which replies are due are very ordinary and simple ones. I see that point also. There is another procedure which I would like to suggest. It is open to the Hon'ble Chair to get the office to

prepare a list of the unanswered questions just to see that some of these questions are pursued by reminding the Government.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—I am already corresponding with the Legislature Office reminding them that answers to certain of my questions are not furnished.

Sri H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA.—In spite of the fact that answers have not been given even after the procedure [suggested above, I cannot understand how it can be enforced at all unless Government make a determination to see that the answers are furnished promptly. Otherwise, Government will come to be censured.

***The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
RAYA REDDY** (Leader of the House).—Sir, I quite appreciate the grievances to which expression has been given by my Hon'ble friends Sri L. Siddappa and Sri H. B. Gundappa Gowda.

The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA (Home Minister).—Sri H. B. Gundappa Gowda has no grievance.

Sri H. B. GUNDAPPA GOWDA.—I have shared the grievance of my Hon'ble friend Sri Siddappa.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—The grievance was so heavy that we had to share it.

**The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
REDDY.**—It is the desire of the Government to furnish answers to all the questions given notice of by the Hon'ble Members. There is no intention or desire on their part to postpone answering the questions. Some of the questions as Hon'ble Members are quite aware, require a lot of time for obtaining the information asked for. For example, during this session some questions have been asked and if we are to satisfactorily answer them, it will take even eight months to collect the information. In fact some questions are of that nature. But there are also some questions to which answers could have been given. I do admit that. The Hon'ble Member Sri L. Siddappa drew the attention of the House to one or two questions to which answers could have been furnished. The Legislative Assembly office

is in touch with the Secretaries to Government continuously and they would be reminding the Secretaries to send answers to unanswered or postponed questions. On our side we are also insisting on the Secretaries to Government to take immediate steps to send up replies to the postponed and unanswered questions. It was my hope that all the postponed questions from the last two sessions would have been replied by now and the statement would have been placed on the Table of the House. But I am sorry to find that 11 questions relating to the Budget Session of 1950 and the August-September Session of the same year still remain unanswered. I shall look into this matter and see that replies to all these questions will be forthcoming to the Hon'ble Members very early. So far as this session is concerned, the progress is not bad, if I may say so. Out of 201 questions we have answered 169 questions. The balance is about 32 questions. Out of these 32 questions some questions are of such a nature that to furnish replies to them it will take a long time. There are some other questions which could have been replied by now. There are some other questions to which if satisfactory replies are to be furnished, we have to correspond with the Government of India.

I may refer to the question relating to the superintendence and control under article 371 of the Indian Constitution. So far as we are concerned, our answers were ready. But the question related to the placing on the Table of the correspondence that has passed between the Government of India and ourselves. So we wanted to ascertain from the Government of India as to whether we could place all the correspondence on the Table of the House. We have not yet received a final reply from them. It was under these circumstances that all the questions relating to the Constitution could not be replied on the floor of this House. I wanted to take an occasion to make a statement on this subject. But it is a little premature to place the correspondence before the House. Until we hear from

(THE HON'BLE SRI K. CHENGALARA-
RAYA REDDY).

the Government of India we cannot place the entire correspondence before the House.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Such cases are exceptional. But you can also reply by saying 'the matter is under correspondence.'

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
REDDY.—That could have been done if that would satisfy Hon'ble Members. The answers were ready ten days back and it would have been very easy for me to say so. But I wanted to give the House some idea of the correspondence that has taken place so that the Hon'ble Members might feel that they are also taken into confidence. It was under these circumstances that the answers have not been given to Hon'ble Members. There are some questions like that to which we cannot give answers in a satisfactory way. And I have a complaint against the Opposition, Sir. We have given answers to 169 questions and the Hon'ble House has not been able to cover more than 50 or 60 questions. The answers are merely in print and they have not been taken up for supplementaries. It is not our fault.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Nor is it that of the Opposition which consists only of six members.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
REDDY.—That is why on a previous occasion I suggested that the procedure which we are now following has to be altered. In the Parliament and in certain other Assemblies as well, a stipulated number of questions will be tabled for being taken up each day. Every day the list is placed in the hands of Members perhaps just one hour prior to the beginning of the meeting. All those questions are gone through. Here if we take up a question.....

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Regarding that I may say the Hon'ble Chief Minister has got to put his house in order.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
RAYA REDDY.—I am not speaking here as representing my house or your house. I only said that a proce-

cedure should be followed which would enable Hon'ble Members to take up as many questions as possible for supplementaries so that the House may be in possession of all information available. I am very sorry that some questions on which I was hoping to give full information were not reached during the Session, because it is possible that some misapprehensions and doubts might be lurking in the minds of Hon'ble Members and it would have been possible to clear those doubts and misapprehensions in the course of supplementaries and subsequent answers. Any way we will be taking up for consideration the Rules of Procedure for this Assembly which have been passed by the special committee set up for this purpose and I hope that when this is taken up for consideration by this House some satisfactory procedure will be evolved with regard to the disposal of questions, which will give satisfaction both to the Members of the Opposition and to other Hon'ble Members as also to the Government.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—We felt, Sir, that it would have been better if the Rules of Procedure had been taken up for consideration during this Session so that the new Rules could have been applied to the next Budget Session.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA-
RAYA REDDY.—That is not possible because the Rules have got to be placed before this House and passed before they can be made applicable for the next Budget Session.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—That would not very much help us, Sir, for this reason. Regarding cut motion, under the existing rules only four days are allowed. But in the revised Rules, a different rule is provided which gives the House ample time to discuss administrative questions.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA
(Minister for Finance and Industries).—You will get more days for cut motions.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—You cannot take more days for cut motions, now, even if you want, because the rule says 'not exceeding four days.'

One other suggestion for the consideration of the Hon'ble Finance

Minister as also of the Chief Minister is: In Madras I find there is a practice to furnish the Budget Estimates to the Members in advance. In the case of the Public Accounts Committee Report you have despatched the same to Members in advance with a slip attached saying that it may be treated as confidential. Similarly, you may attach a slip to the copy of Budget Estimates stating that it must be treated as confidential and we are bound to treat it as confidential and we assure you that we will consider it as confidential. If the Budget Estimates are given to us in advance it will give some time for us to study the budget properly. Otherwise, if the Budget is given to us when we come here when we will be engaged in some other work, we will not, have sufficient time to study the Budget.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—But there is usually a time-lag between the presentation of the Budget and discussion on it.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Yes, but when we are here we will be engaged in some other work relating to the proceedings of this House and so we will not have sufficient time to study the Budget proposals.

Sri S. RANGARAMIAH (Mysore District—General).—Sir, as regards resolutions, in every session, though a number of resolutions are given notice of we cannot go through more than three or four. Even this time there are about 65 resolutions but we may not finish more than four. So as regards the other resolutions the Government and the Hon'ble Ministers may consider them if they feel inclined to give effect to the spirit of those resolutions and also the intention of the mover. They may be considered and what action is taken on them may be reported at the next Session.

*The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA RAYA REDDY.—May I say a word with regard to the request made by the Hon'ble Sri L. Siddappa? The proposal is that the Budget Estimates may be made available to the members about a week in advance of the first day of

the Budget Session. I do not know what is the practice prevailing in other States and the Parliament. But I am given to understand that they are sent in advance in one or two cases. We shall find out the real position.

*Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—If fact my Hon'ble friend Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiya has got a copy of the Madras State Budget.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA RAYA REDDY.—We shall find out the position. Then, if we have to present the Budget in advance to the members, we have to put off the Budget Session by about a week.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—We are agreeable.

The Hon'ble Sri K. CHENGALARA RAYA REDDY.—We have to examine and I am not quite sure. The Hon'ble Finance Minister knows about it. I do not think it is also obligatory on our part to finalise the Budget before the 31st of March and pass the Appropriation Bill on 1st of April. If it is possible to have the final stages of the Budget in the month of April, that would help us. We shall examine the whole thing and do whatever is feasible. When the Budget Estimates go to the members marked "Confidential", I hope they will remain confidential. I am not casting any reflections.

Regarding the proposal made by the Hon'ble Member Sri S. Rangaramiah, it is not as if the resolutions which are not taken up on the floor of the House will not be considered by the Government at all. In fact every resolution that has been sent would have been worked up and notes prepared so that all material would have been gathered in respect of each resolution given notice of. And that would give us an indication about subjects the members are interested in and would like to press. Without committing myself and saying that all those resolutions would be considered and decisions taken and such decisions would be communicated to the members concerned, which will not be a feasible or practicable proposition, I give an assurance that all the resolutions will

(THE HON'BLE SRI K. CHENGALARA-
RAYA REDDY).

certainly be taken note of by Government, considered and suitable action taken by them. That much I can assure Hon'ble Members.

RESOLUTIONS.

Resolution No. 2 (concl'd).

Introduction of Prohibition in Hassan District.

Mr. SPEAKER (The Hon'ble Sri V. Venkatappa).—Discussion on Resolution No. 2* which had not concluded yesterday will how be resumed, Sri B. Channabyre Gowda.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡರು (ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ) ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈಗ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಿರ್ಣಯಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು ತಂದಿರುವ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಪುಷ್ಟೀಕರಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಒಂದೆರಡು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವರಗವನ್ನು ತರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅವರ ಭಾಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಾಮಳೆ 1950ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಹೊತ್ತಿಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಜನತೆ ಮತ್ತು ನಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ನಂಬಿಕೊಂಡು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೃತಜ್ಞತೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆವು. ಈಗ ಏನಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು ತಂದಿರುವ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಂತೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಾಮಳೆ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಇನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನೀವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರೂ ಕೂಡ ಭಾಷಣಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಆದರೆ ಕೊನೆಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಉತ್ತರದ ಭಾವವನ್ನು ಅರಿತು, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; ಹೋಗಲಿ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿ ಬಿಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ನವರು ಮತ್ತೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವರು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಬಹಳವಾಗಿ ಹೊಗಳಿದರು. ಆ ರೀತಿ 3-4 ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳವರು ಹೊಗಳಿದರೆಂದರೆ, ಇನ್ನು ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳವರು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಬಯ್ಯುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಗಳುವುದಾಗಲೀ, ಬಯ್ಯುವುದಾಗಲೀ ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಾಗ್ದಾನವನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು, ನೀತಿಯುತವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಒಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧವಾಡಿ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದರಿಂದ

ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಏನು ತೊಂದರೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಅನೀತಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ, ಅಪಾಯ ಏನು, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಹೆಸರು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದೆರಡು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ಹೊಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೋಲಾರ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಜನ ಕಾರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ಬಸ್ಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ರೈಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಒಂದೆರಡು ದಿನ ಇಲ್ಲೇ ಇದ್ದು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕುಡಿದು ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ ಉರುಳಾಡಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಾಪಟ್ಟಿ ತಿರುಗಾಡಿ ಸತ್ತು ಸುಣ್ಣವಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಒಂದು ಸಾರಿ ಬಂದರೆ 15 ನಗರವರೆಗೆ ಮಾಮೂಲಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಡಿಯು ತಿದ್ದರೋ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹತ್ತರಷ್ಟು ಎರಡೇ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿದು, ದಡ್ಡು ಬರ್ಬು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜನಗಳು ಪಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕಷ್ಟ, ತೊಂದರೆ ನೆನೆಸಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ವ್ಯಸನವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕುಡಿದು ಬಂದು ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ವಾಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವರು ಅದಕ್ಕಕ್ಕೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ನಮಗಂತೂ ಸಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅಸಹ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ಒಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದು ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲೇ ಇರುವ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ತರದೇ ಇರುವುದು ಯಾವ ನೀತಿ? ಒಂದು ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಬಣ್ಣ, ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಸುಣ್ಣ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಬೇರೆ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇದ್ದಾಗ 5 ನಿಮಿಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಇಂದಿನಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿರುವ ತಾವೂ ಕೂಡ ಹಿಂದೆ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಷಣ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ 5 ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ನಾವು 3 ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲೇ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಏಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗಲೂ ಸಹ ಪಾನನಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇನ್ನೂ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ನಷ್ಟವನ್ನೂ ಸರಿದೂಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರದ ಕೆರಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಸಹಹಾಕಿರಿ. ಪಾನನಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಡಜನರಿಗೆ ಉಪಕಾರವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡೆವು. ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಮೇಲೆ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ 4 ಆಣೆ ದರ ಸಹ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿರಿ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಂಡೆವು. ಇಷ್ಟೆಲ್ಲಾ ಆದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಕುಡಿಯುವವರು ಕುಡಿಯುತ್ತರೇ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ, 1½ ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವರಮಾನ ಇದ್ದು 4 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದರೂ ಸಹ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಮಾತ್ರ ತಪ್ಪಲ್ಲ.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವರಮಾನ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡರು.—ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಮೇಲೆ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಆಣೆ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿರಿ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸೇರಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ತೆರಿಗೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸಿದರೂ ಈ ಹೇಯವಾದ ಪ್ರವೃತ್ತಿ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ವಸಮ್ಮತವಾಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡೆವು. ಇದು ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿ ನೆರವೇರದಿದ್ದರೆ ಏನು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

*This Assembly is of the opinion that prohibition be introduced in the Hassan District from the year 1951-52.